A STANDING STONE AND ITS POSSIBLE
ASTRONOMICAL ALIGNMENT

Using Seasonal Shadow and Light Displays in the Neolithic

DANIEL BROWN

Sundials and gnomons pointing out dates and times are a beautiful reminder of how we understand the movement of the Sun and use it to structure day and year. They also encapsulate the basic astronomical knowledge and concepts of the individuals and therefore their societies which have built them. At the heart of every sundial lies the recognition that the daily and seasonal change of light and shadow is repeated over and over again, in the past, present and into the distant future. Such knowledge was developed well before any written documents existed during times when observing did not mean measuring but rather watching and embracing the findings into a far deeper ritualistic religious frame work. A 4,000 year old monolith located at Gardom’s Edge with its striking orientation and in the midst of a landscape rich in other monuments is presented as a possible example of such astronomical knowledge and how it might have been applied to create a deeper meaning for this monument.

Within the Peak District National Park there are many ancient monuments ranging from the Neolithic, through to Roman and more modern times. Gardom’s Edge close to Baslow is a special example of how in only a square kilometre you can experience the entire impact humans have had on the landscape. The gritstone scarp of Gardom’s Edge consists of a medium high plateau overlooking the river Derwent. The area is littered with many rocks and overgrown with heather, bracken and partly birch trees. But it allows beautiful vistas towards the West and part of Chatsworth estate. The easiest approach in the sometimes quite boggy ground is from the free car park at the Robin Hoods Inn and outlined in Fig. 1. Walking towards Baslow along the main road there is a foot path that can be taken to slowly walk higher past old braided hollow ways, cairns, and a medieval hermit’s hovel. After having passed through an old dry stone wall gate the path forks and the right path along the dry stone wall will take you onto the plateau. After following the wall for a while you will cross the tumbled remains of another wall and a gate will be to the right. Entering, one is now only metres away from the monolith. Detailed descriptions of walks on site can be found in Harris’s or Johnstone’s.

The area surrounding the monolith contains several Neolithic monuments that are proposed to be contemporary, these include an enclosure of 100 m × 500 m size with walls 5–10 m wide and up to 1.5 m high (which will have been crossed twice while approaching the site) and in-situ rock art on a solidly grounded boulder 2 m × 1 m (located only 200 m further from the monolith). More difficult to spot are some bronze age round houses and field systems. A more in-depth overview of the site has been given by Barnatt’s. These examples illustrate how humans have inhabited and farmed this region as well as given it a deeper ritual or religious meaning as expressed for example in the enclosure.
Even though the entire landscape is littered with rocks the monolith is outstanding in its triangular shape and height of 2m illustrated in Fig. 3. It is also located a mere 50m north-east of one of the two entrances of the enclosure. It is made from a similar gritstone as the bedrock and the surrounding boulders but it is more angular than the others. It has suffered severe weathering leading to deep localised erosion. At its base several smaller stones can be made out (see Fig. 2) as well as a slight local increase in the ground level, both leading to the possible interpretation of packing stones and therefore an intentional erection of this stone. Such standing stones are rare in the Peak District as they tend to have been removed to be used in buildings over the millennia. There are no other such examples at Gardom’s Edge or other adjacent moors, making this an ideal opportunity to study such a monolith in its original setting.

A detailed erosion study was carried out for the most affected north-facing side. The systematic mapping of weathering features indicates that the stone has been at its current orientation for a significant period. The features are consistent with erosional processes at this orientation and have also occurred in other Neolithic stone circles consisting of sandstone (see Duddo stones NT931437 described by Younger and Stunell³).

Ignoring the impact of localised erosion, the stone has one side which would have been quite smooth. The orientation and gradient were surveyed using nine different locations on this side that were mostly untouched by erosion, as seen in Fig. 2. This north-facing side is orientated so that it slopes up towards the South, its strike perpendicular to the gradient was measure to be (92.0±2.1)° from geographic North and the overall gradient was (58.3±2.9)°. Already, using only a tape measure to assess the steepness of the slope and a magnetic compass, it is possible to confirm the measurements on site. Otherwise, the stone appears to be upright only with a marginal tilt of (4±4)° to the West.

These surveys were followed up by a high-resolution three-dimensional survey of the surface structure of the stone. Together with the previously surveyed orientation and a rendered model of the monolith, a realistic illumination of the standing stone could be modelled including the correct ecliptic obliquity for the proposed erection time of 2000 BC (23.95)°. The overall rendered model is shown in Fig. 4 for 2 June, 1pm local time with shadows showing the realistic modelling of the erosion of the stone. The insets illustrate the north-facing side looking up the slope.
allowing assessment of the impact of erosion as well as limiting cases for the illumination during local midday: the first shadowless day for the north-facing side 2 June (19 days or 2.7 weeks from mid-summer); and mid-summer with the side illuminated by the Sun. The mid-summer case visualises how the light falling onto the north-facing side passes not only through the runnel features created by erosion towards the right and the left of that side, but also passes over all of the top ridge. This modelling went hand-in-hand with a still on-going project to gather contemporary images of the illumination of the monolith.

Additionally to the work directly related to the stone, the base of the stone was also analysed. The entire site is listed and we only undertook non-invasive studies that allowed us to map the locations of any visible stones around the base of the monolith as well as measure the difference in ground elevation to a precision of 1 cm. This work revealed a possible higher density of rock and boulders at the north-west base of the stone that could be linked to an increased presence of packing stones.

It is intriguing to note that the highest altitude the Sun will reach (due South) during the year for this geographic location and at the time of erection of the Stone would be 60.7°. This would allow the north-facing side to be illuminated at local midday during mid-summer. Furthermore, the tilt is along the East-West axis and would not permit any light to fall onto the north-facing side during the winter-half of the year. In contrast to typical sundials, it is of no interest where the shadow of the monolith or its end is located on the ground or any other structure. Treating the monolith as a gnomon or the slanted edges of the north-facing side as styles is misleading and incorrect. (Note the sloping up towards the South.) We are only analysing the illumination of the stone. In the next section a concept for a seasonal sundial will be developed allowing for seasonally relevant light and shadow casting to occur. It is in no way intended to be able to measure dates but rather illustrate the seasonally varying path of the Sun.

The principle of a seasonal sundial is modelled in Fig. 5 where three panels illustrate the apparent passage of the Sun over a wedge-shaped installation with one tilted plane extended in grey. The entire illumination is illustrated with a hypothetical celestial sphere for the winter solstice (a), summer half of the year (b), and summer solstice (c). The north-facing side will be illuminated if the Sun is above the grey plane. If the Sun’s path which is parallel to the celestial equator falls below the plane of the north-facing side of the stone the line is solid and if it falls above the plane it is dashed. As can be seen in Fig. 5(b) the Sun can cross the plane up to two times at equal distances from the Sun’s position at local midday, both marked here as points B and C. These points move closer together as the summer solstice approaches. If the plane has an obliquity regarding the horizon of less than 90−φ+ε (where φ is the geographic latitude and ε the obliquity of the ecliptic) points B and C will never merge and the north-facing side will never be illuminated during local midday. If it is exactly 90−φ+ε the points will merge at local midday and the north-facing side will always be illuminated on the day of the summer solstice as illustrated in Fig. 5(c). To achieve such behaviour the flat side of the stone has to be oriented towards North, i.e. the plane intersects the horizon in an E-W direction. Given this behaviour, a seasonal sundial indicates the winter half of the year by having its north-facing side cast in permanent shadow. Only after the equinoxes will the north side become partly illuminated during the mornings and evenings. When the time of the summer solstice approaches the north-facing side will be illuminated during the entire day. The number of hours for

[Fig. 5. The principle of a seasonal sundial is illustrated in panels a, b, and c. The apparent path of the Sun during the winter solstice (a), an arbitrary day in the summer half of the year (b), and the summer solstice (c) is shown by the black arc with the symbol of the Sun. If the Sun is below the plane shaded in grey defined by the north-facing side of the seasonal sundial, the arc is solid. If the Sun is above the arc is dashed. The location at which the arc of the Sun intersect the plane is indicated by points B and C.]
which it still remains in shadow is given in Fig. 6 and have been derived with the appropriate obliquity of the ecliptic. The hashed area indicates permanent illumination and the solid black area permanent shadow. The measured slope is indicated by the horizontal double line including a shaded area for the errors. The black point illustrates the result of the rendered model.

The general path of the Sun, including its varying rising and setting locations on the horizon during the year, was well known in pre-historic times. This knowledge is clearly applied in several well-known monuments across the world, including Stonehenge and other stone circles relevant for the context of the British Isles. These monuments outline the typical knowledge and skills societies at the time of the erection of the Gordion’s Edge monolith would have had, making its alignment achievable. However, the usage of shadows themselves to illustrate the passage of the Sun is not something so commonly encountered on the British Isles in this period. There are two sites which have been interpreted in a similar manner: Newgrange in Ireland and some Clava Cairns in Scotland. Both show surrounding standing stones casting a shadow onto the central burial monument during certain times that would have been of calendric importance. But beyond that they also visualise the cyclic nature of time itself and use shadows to express eternity in a monument for the dead and living alike.

The existence of any form of calendar, megalithic or later iron-age, is highly debatable and of no importance for the interpretation of the proposed astronomical alignment of the monolith. It is only able to highlight three of the four main dates during the year: equinoxes and summer solstice. Both equinoxes are also rather hypothetical in experience since their illumination effect on the stone would be only experienced during sunrise and sunset and strongly inhibited by the varying horizon and surrounding vegetation. Furthermore, determining the exact date of the summer solstices is impossible using such simple methods and was experienced in other manners. It is rather the inherent astronomical knowledge that had to be present in creating such seasonal illumination. Any other orientation could have been possible including pointing out the unmarked centre of the enclosure (azimuth (292±2)°), the rock art (azimuth (260±2)°) or a barrow known as the ‘Three Men’ (azimuth (282±2)°). However, these sites are all not directly observable from the standing stone. The only other location visible is the main entrance of the enclosure (azimuth (290±2)°). All of these orientations have been avoided. The finding presented here, especially the link of gradient and orientation of the slope, allows for the possibility that the standing stone at Gordion’s Edge was astronomically aligned during the late Neolithic and early Bronze Age period. Therefore, this standing stone would have represented an ideal marker or social arena for seasonal gatherings for the otherwise dispersed small communities since it incorporates seasonal shadow casting within its design. Rather than including intricate carving as indications for the seasonal importance of this stone, a more natural message was incorporated into this marker. Such symbolic orientation can be compared to the alignment of mosques towards Mecca. Here the cause for seasons and life, the Sun itself, was included into the monument alignment encoding the cyclic nature of time and eternity through the delicate light and shadow play. It would have been the ideal location for ancient societies to learn more about what Francis Pryor called the “lore of life” through the landscape itself.
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READERS’ LETTERS

Hever Castle Dial

Having read the scholarly analyses and studied the photo of the modern replica, I would postulate the following scenario.

Skilled Italian sculptors have been ‘replicating’ ancient artefacts since at least the time of Michelangelo. Their main customer base was the stream of young men sent out from Northern Europe to acquire a gloss of classicism fitting them for a patrician role in their own countries in later life. An informed commentator has noted that what many of them actually acquired was a dose of syphilis which made them unfit for any purpose for the rest of their lives (but that is an aside).

Supposing one of these characters, having come across the workshop of such a replicator, and somehow transcending the language barrier, makes it clear the he would like a Roman sundial, but one suitable for his home latitude in the Shetland Isles, southern Norway or Sweden or northern Russia around St Petersburg. He does not understand the Roman method of timetelling but has a fair grasp of contemporary dialling requirements. The replicator understands: taking a genuine Sicilian dial as his model he produced a scaphe inclined at 61° to the horizontal. But the hour lines within the scaphe are still slavishly copied from the temporal hour lines of the original. By the time the dial is finished, the fickle patron has lost interest and it is never collected.

Another young man, from England this time, spots it and buys it – hence it gets to Hever. The original replicator never got as far as installing a gnomon – he just put a slot in what was to him the usual place. What is needed is a curved bendable and slideable gnomon which can be first adjusted and then fixed (a plate over the gnomon slot would readily do this). I wouldn’t be clinically plain. A plausible phoney Roman design would be a bird’s beak. The point needs to stand where its shadow will track the two major day curves (summer solstice and Æquinox) with reasonable closeness. The winter solstice curve is also possible.

Peter Drinkwater
Shipston-on-Stour